

Local Work Group Development of Local EQIP

BECKER District FY09 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

SOIL EROSION

WATER QUALITY

NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION

GRAZING SYSTEMS

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

CAMPBELL CREEK/RICE LAKE WATERSHED

HAY CREEK/STINKING LAKE WATERSHED

LAND WITHIN THE SHORELAND REGULATION ZONE AS DEFINED BY BECKER COUNTY ZONING

3. From items 1 & 2 above prioritize the local resource concerns to be addressed with EQIP funding for the district. Describe a minimum of 3 categories of the highest priority applications which you would want to receive funding.

SOIL EROSION AND WATER QUALITY IN THE CAMPBELL CREEK/RICE LAKE AND HAY CREEK/STINKING LAKE WATERSHEDS

ANY RESOURCE CONCERN WITHIN THE SHORELAND REGULATION ZONE AS DEFINED BY BECKER COUNTY ZONING

4. Develop a minimum of 3 and maximum of 12 yes/no questions to determine if an application is addressing the high priority concerns described in item 3.

1. ALL RESOURCE CONCERNS – Applications with any practice applied within the shoreland management zone as defined by Becker County Zoning. (8 pts)

2. SOIL EROSION – Structural practices to control ephemeral or gully erosion that outlet directly to a recreational, general development or natural environment lake, stream or tributary as defined by Becker County Zoning or where state and/or local cost share funds will be used. (8 pts)

3. ALL RESOURCE CONCERNS – Applications with any practice applied where state and/or local cost share funds will be used. (8 pts)

4. SOIL EROSION – Applications that reduce wind or sheet and rill erosion from above “T” to below “T” in the Campbell Creek/Rice Lake or a CWL funded watershed. (8 pts)

5. WATER QUALITY – Applications that address a water quality concern within the Campbell Creek/Rice Lake or a CWL funded watershed. (8 pts)

6. WATER QUALITY – Applications county-wide that install filter strips or riparian forest buffers adjacent to permanent or intermittent streams, lakes or drainage ditches. (7 pts)
7. WATER QUALITY – Applications county-wide that include a nutrient management with manure. (3 pts)
8. FOREST MANAGEMENT – Forestry related practices planned in a Forest Stewardship Plan. (7 pts)
9. HABITAT CONSERVATION – Applications that will treat European buckthorn or non-woody species identified in the Becker SWCD PTI grant. (7 pts)

5. Assign points to the questions in Item #4 as desired to reflect local priorities. The total points assigned to the questions should be between 35 to 60 points.

SEE ABOVE


6. Submit this worksheet to your respective ASTC(FO). After approval from the state office, the questions will be entered into the Local Issues section of the ranking tool.

7. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

NONE

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 09 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.



Chair, Local Work Group

11/20/2008

Date

ATTENDEES

Don LeFebvre, Duane Grossman, Jerome Flottemesch, Dale Lubitz, Roger Tychsen: Becker SWCD Supervisors
Brad Grant: Becker SWCD Employees
Dave Johnson: DNR Forestry
Dan Pazdernik, Ed Musielewicz: NRCS
Adam Woltjer: NRCS Tribal Liason
Nathan Peterson: Farm Services Agency